

# MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1800 Washington Boulevard • Baltimore MD 21230 410-537-3000 • 1-800-633-6101

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

Kendl P. Phil Secn

C,

Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor

Jonas A. Jacot Deputy Secre

September 2, 2004

Donald S. Welsh Regional Administrator U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

RECEIVED SEP 0 8 2004

OFFICE OF REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Mr. Welsh:

This letter, including the referenced enclosures, proposes revision of the November 1998 Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Maryland and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act (MOU). Your countersignature at the end of the letter will signify EPA's agreement to these proposed revisions. The MOU to be revised by this letter addresses the waters identified on Maryland's 1998 303(d) list. Waters identified on subsequent 303(d) lists will be addressed in accordance with federal regulations and guidance and a strategy that will be outlined under a

# Briefly, this letter:

- Proposes to extend the long-term schedule for dealing with waters on the 1. 1998 303(d) list by three years, consistent with current EPA policy.
- Proposes to change the performance period for attending to impairments from 2. the calendar year ending December 31, to the federal fiscal year ending September 30. The annual report and workplan will be submitted by 3.
- Clarifies that the focus of the MOU is to address 303(d) listed waters, either by conducting a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) analysis or delisting through other means where a TMDL is unnecessary or inappropriate. The specific ways in which 303(d) listings may be addressed are discussed below.
- 4. Provides a production schedule to address the 1998 list.
- The 1998 MOU specified a scheduling period of 10 years to attend to waters on Maryland's 1998 303(d) list, implying completion in 2008. Current EPA guidance allows 8 - 13 years to address listed waters. The MOU did not specify an annual production schedule; however, an annual production schedule was provided separately to EPA in 1998. For the past five years, the Maryland Department of Environment has successfully met or exceeded its annual production schedule goals. Ninety 303(d) listings have been addressed as of the end of calendar year 2003

(61-1998 Listings, 13-2002 Listings, and an additional 15-TMDLs addressing sub-watersheds within a larger listing) (See Enclosure B).

Section V.D. of the MOU recognized that Maryland might not be able to attend to all of the waters on the 1998 303(d) list within the timeframe specified in the MOU due to the potential "inability of Maryland to obtain additional funding, a change in priorities resulting from subsequent approved 303(d) list[s], or other unforeseen circumstances that are beyond the control of Maryland." Consistent with this understanding, this letter proposes to revise the scheduling period for addressing waters identified on Maryland's 1998 303(d) list from 2008 to 2011 (Enclosure A).

Briefly, the justifications for extending the schedule are funding constraints, the need for consistency with the Chesapeake Bay Agreement, the technical complexity of some TMDLs, the displacement of staff resources to address high priority waters identified on the 2002 303(d) List, and the general desire to ensure high quality analyses. These and related matters are discussed in the enclosed document entitled, Justification for a Revised Schedule to Address Waters on Maryland's 1998 303(d) List.

- 2. This letter further proposes to change the target date for completing each annual schedule from the end of the calendar year (December 31), to the end of the federal fiscal year (September 30). The deadline is being changed to minimize the occurrence of public comment periods during the holiday season. It is also being changed because submission dates that correspond with the end of the calendar year, which coincides with the holidays, place great stress on staff, thereby undermining staff retention (see Enclosure C for the 2004-2006 schedule).
- 3. This letter clarifies that MDE may address a listed impairment by means other than establishing a TMDL. Appropriate responses may include the establishments of a TMDL, demonstration that the water quality standards are being met, demonstration of an error in the listing, documentation that another enforceable activity will mitigate the impairment, or demonstration that the cause of the impairment is due to a form of pollution other than a pollutant. This clarification is consistent with the existing MOU, which notes that TMDLs will be developed "where necessary" for the 1998 list (p.3), and that TMDLs do not need to be developed for water quality limited segments removed from future lists approved by EPA (p.6). This letter also clarifies the definition of "address". "Address" includes beginning work that may include model/method development or monitoring. "Address" does not necessarily imply that all aspects of an impairment will be resolved within five years. Depending on the complexity of the system and the scientific issues involved, final resolution may take longer, but all listings will be completed within 8 13 years per EPA policy.
- 4. The Maryland Department of the Environment is committed to maintaining a robust pace in its efforts to address 303(d) listings. A plan has been developed to focus on the 1998 listings, which has resulted in a projected yearly schedule of submittals to EPA. This is elaborated upon in the enclosure to this letter entitled Justification for a Revised Schedule to Address Waters on Maryland's 1998 303(d) List. However, this schedule remains subject to the qualifications identified in Section III. D. of the original MOU and set forth in item 1 above. Enclosure D documents Maryland's efforts in TMDL monitoring, development and documentation.

Donald S. Welsh Page Three

Although the bulk of outstanding 303(d) listings are from 1998 and prior lists, MDE has a record of addressing new 303(d) listings that take priority over existing listings. MDE will continue this practice in addition to addressing the 1998 list under the terms of the MOU. To ensure steady progress on new listings, MDE will document a process for attending to listings subsequent to 1998, which we hope to forward to you shortly.

We would appreciate your consideration and concurrence with the refinements to the MOU that are proposed in this letter and supporting enclosures. If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Richard Eskin at (410) 537-3572. We are enclosing copies for your signature. If you agree with this proposal, please sign both copies and return them to MDE. We will countersign and return an original to you.

Very truly yours,

Kendl P. Philbrick, Secretary

Maryland Department of the Environment

Countersigned:

Donald S. Welsh

Regional Administrator

US EPA Region III

Kendl P. Philbrick

Secretary

Maryland Department of the Environment

Enclosures A, B, C, D, D2 and E

cc: Stephen Pattison, Assistant Secretary

Richard A. Eskin, Ph.D. Robert Summers, Ph.D.

Jennifer Wazenski, Assistant Attorney General

Dominique Lueckenhoff, Office of Watersheds, EPA Region III

# Justification for a Revised Schedule to Address Waters on Maryland's 1998 303(d) List

In November 1998, Maryland signed an agreement with the Region III Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency entitled, Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Maryland and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act (MOU). Section V.D. of the MOU recognized that Maryland might not be able to address all of the waters on the 1998 303(d) list within the timeframe specified in the MOU due to the potential "inability of Maryland to obtain additional funding, a change in priorities resulting from subsequent approved 303(d) list[s], or other unforeseen circumstances that v.D, for revising the deadline of the period of performance from 2008 to 2011.

Fiscal Challenges: Because of a significant economic downtum over the last several years, State tax revenues have decreased significantly resulting in reductions in the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) program budget. Although the Maryland Department of Environment (MDE) continues to have an effective TMDL program, the budget reductions are having an impact on the pace of TMDL development. Fiscal impacts are being felt across State agencies and the option of shifting resources to fill the void is not available. Efforts to increase staff productivity and to streamline the TMDL analyses have been made to maintain appropriate progress.

Priorities of Newly Listed Waters: The MOU schedule at issue applies to the 1998 303(d) list. It must be recognized, however, that in some cases, waters identified on subsequent 303(d) lists have higher priority than some waters on the 1998 list. Waters in which fish tissue show signs of excessive mercury content, posing an increased risk to human health, are a case in point. Thirteen (13) such waters appear on the 2002 303(d) list; during 2002, MDE addressed nine of these listings through TMDL development. Resources were devoted to these TMDLs at the expense of working on lower priority waters on the 1998 list. This justifies providing more time to address the lower priority waters on the 1998 list. As new impairments are discovered they will be reflected in revised 303(d) lists, which will also reflect revised priorities, including potential changes for previous listings. As part of the Impaired Waters List, priorities are subject to public review and EPA approval.

Complexity of Some TMDLs and Desire to Maintain High Quality Analyses: When the 1998 MOU was developed, Maryland had limited experience conducting TMDL analyses. A good faith effort was made at that time to estimate a schedule by which the TMDLs could be completed. Since that time Maryland has gained a greater appreciation for the complexity of some analyses. For example, TMDL analyses for the Baltimore Harbor will address contaminants in the bottom sediments. Maryland is on the forefront nationally in addressing this aspect of water quality. Maryland is also devoting significant resources to deriving credible methods for conducting TMDL analyses for pollutants without existing numeric criteria or analytical approaches, apart from conducting the analyses themselves. Examples include methodologies for mercury in lakes, for non-tidal streams with habitat impacts, for tidal shellfish waters impacted by bacteria, and for non-tidal waters impacted by bacteria. The time devoted to developing analysis methodologies detracts from the time devoted to actually conducting the analyses. This, and the desire to ensure the quality of our analyses, has revealed that the original schedule is too ambitious.

Coordination with the Chesapeake Bay Agreement: Maryland has a long tradition of working cooperatively with stakeholders to improve the quality of the Chesapeake Bay and major tributaries, like the Potomac River. Because of concerns that the regulatory aspects of the TMDL program would undermine the cooperative Bay efforts, an agreement was reached among Bay states in which the Bay Community would strive to meet water quality goals in the Chesapeake Bay by 2010. Formal TMDLs will serve as a backup to enforce this agreement if water quality standards are not achieved.

In addition to the Chesapeake Bay itself, many major tributaries in Maryland are physically coupled with the Bay (i.e., one cannot distinguish where the tributary ends and the Bay begins). Similarly, many segments identified on Maryland's 303(d) list are simply open waters of the Bay (e.g., Tangier Sound, Kent Island Bay, Eastern Bay). Twenty-one (21) waters of this kind have been identified (see the list at the end of this document). Developing formal TMDLs for these waters before 2010 would undermine the spirit of the agreement described above. Nevertheless, MDE is contributing significant in the spirit of the agreement described above. Nevertheless, MDE is contributing significant fiscal resources and staff to collect data and develop the analytical tools to ensure Maryland has the technical capacity to fulfill its TMDL obligations. This is being done by MDE as part of the Chesapeake Bay Program framework.

Specifically, Maryland conducted much of the monitoring used to support modeling of the Chesapeake Bay and tidal tributaries. MDE staff attend the Bay Program Modeling Subcommittee meetings at which technical decisions are made on these matters. More significantly, MDE devoted a staff person to work in the Bay Program Office for a year during the development of the models used to develop to work in the Bay and tidal tributaries. MDE continues to devote resources to address the loading limits for the Bay and tidal tributaries. MDE funded two years of monitoring in the limitations of the current Bay modeling. Specifically, MDE funded two years of monitoring in the tidal and non-tidal parts of the Potomac River, and has funded the bulk of the Phase V watershed model for the entire Potomac River basin, at a cost of about \$1.6 million. It is essential that these investments of staff and financial resources be recognized as essential elements of the very complex regional process to address the 1998 303(d) list in a manner that is coordinated with the Chesapeake Bay Program.

Pace of Addressing the 1998 303(d) List: In addition to those waters related to the Chesapeake Bay effort, Maryland will strive to focus on an average of about 24 of the 1998 listings per year between 2003 and 2011. Maryland would like to clarify that the definition of "address" includes beginning work that may include model/method development or monitoring. "Address" does not necessarily work that all aspects of an impairment will be resolved within five years. Depending on the complexity of the system and the scientific issues involved final resolution may take longer, but all listings will be completed within 8-13 years per EPA policy. Maryland remains committed to ensuring a consistent pace of addressing the 350 cases on the 1998 303(d) list. As of the end of 2003, 61 listings had been addressed, leaving about 289 cases. MDE will handle open water body segments dominated by Bay waters identified on the list below for nutrients within the context of the regional Chesapeake Bay Program process. Subtracting these 21 cases from 289 leaves a remainder of outstanding cases identified on Maryland's 1998 303(d) list of approximately 268.

Sixty-six (66) listings for suspended sediments in tidal waters will pose a significant challenge, in great part due to a lack of understanding about cause and effect relationships, such as the role of shoreline erosion. These listings will be handled in coordination with the Chesapeake Bay Program. Although MDE is committed to attending to all of the listings by 2011, it is difficult to specify the pace by which the 66 tidal sediment cases may be addressed. However, MDE plans to focus on these listings all at

one time as part of a comprehensive analysis in coordination with the Chesapeake Bay Program. With this in mind, we set aside the 66 tidal sediment impairments from the 268 remaining cases, leaving about 202 cases to be dealt with directly by MDE within the coming nine years. This suggests concentrating on about 24 cases per year from the 1998 303(d) list. It should be noted that the actual annual workload is likely going to be greater than 24 cases per year, because progress must be made on addressing waters that have been listed after 1998.

As noted in the previous section, MDE is contributing significantly to building the technical capacity to support the 2007 reevaluation of the 2000 Chesapeake Bay Agreement. Among other things, the waters listed below will be addressed by this joint effort. Although formal TMDLs for these waters will not be proposed at that time, this major milestone will help ensure that the Chesapeake Bay Program and Maryland's TMDL Development Program are appropriately integrated by 2010.

1998 303(d) Listings for Nutrients that are being Addressed in the Context of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement

MD 8-digit Basin Code	Basin Name
02-12-02-01	Lower Susquehanna River
02-12-02-04	Susquehanna River/Conowingo Dam
02-13-02-06	Tangier Sound
02-13-04-01	Honga River
02-13-04-02	Little Choptank River
02-13-04-03	Lower Choptank River
02-13-05-01	Eastern Bay
02-13-05-04	Kent Narrows / Prospect Bay
02-13-05-05	Lower Chester River
02-13-05-11	Kent Island Bay
02-13-07-05	Aberdeen Proving Ground
2-13-08-01	Gunpowder River tidal
2-13-09-02	Bodkin Creek
2-13-10-05	West Chesapeake Drainage
2-13-99-96	Upper Chesapeake Bay
2-13-99-97	Middle Chesapeake Bay
2-13-99-98	Lower Chesapeake Bay
2-14-01-01	Lower Potomac River (Smith Pt to Mouth)
-14-01-02	Lower Potomac River (Marshall Hall to Smith Pt)
-14-02-01	Middle Potomac River (Chain Bridge to Marshall Hall)
-14-02-02	Potomac River (non-tidal) (Monocacy to Chain Bridge)
OTAL COUNT'	21 (Monocacy to Chain Bridge)

<sup>\*</sup>The actual count will change with the segmentation introduced with the new water quality standards but the same waters will be covered.

# 1998 303(d) Listings for Sediments that are being Addressed in the Context of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement

MD 8-Digit	Basin Name
Basin Number	d Lama Diver
02-12-02-01	Lower Susquehanna River
02-13-02-02	Lower Pocomoke River
02-13-02-04	Dividing Creek
02-13-02-05	Nassawango Creek
02-13-02-06	Tangier Sound
02-13-02-08	Manokin River
02-13-03-01	Lower Wicomico River
02-13-03-03	Wicomico Creek
02-13-03-06	Marshyhope Creek
02-13-03-08	Transquaking River
02-13-04-01	Honga River
02-13-04-03	Lower Choptank River
02-13-04-04	Upper Choptank River
02-13-04-05	Tuckahoe Creek
02-13-05-01	Eastern Bay
02-13-05-02	Miles River
02-13-05-03	Wye River
02-13-05-04	Kent Narrows/Prospect Bay
02-13-05-05	Lower Chester River
02-13-05-06	Langford Creek
02-13-05-07	Corsica River
02-13-05-08	Southeast Creek
02-13-05-09	Middle Chester River
02-13-05-10	Upper Chester River
02-13-05-11	Kent Island Bay
02-13-06-01	Lower Elk River
02-13-06-02	Bohemia River
02-13-06-03	Upper Elk River
02-13-06-04	Back Creek
02-13-06-08	Northeast River
02-13-06-09	Furnace Bay
02-13-06-10	Sassafras River
02-13-06-11	Stillpond-Fairlee
02-13-07-01	Bush River
02-13-07-05	Aberdeen Proving Ground
02-13-07-06	Swan Creek
02-13-08-01	Gunpowder River

	02-13-08-0	3	Bird River
	02-13-08-0	7	Middle River - Browns Creek
	02-13-09-0	1	Back River
	02-13-09-0	2	Bodkin Creek
	02-13-09-03		Baltimore Harbor
	02-13-10-01		Magothy River
	02-13-10-02	?	Severn River
	02-13-10-03		South River
	02-13-10-04		West River
	02-13-10-05		Other West Chesapeake Bay
	lan.		Drainages Day
	02-13-11-01		Patuxent River lower
	02-13-11-02		Patuxent River middle
	02-13-11-03		Western Branch
	02-14-01-01	Þ	otomac River Lower tidal (Smith
			t. to Mouth)
	02-14-01-02	P	otomac River Middle tidal
ł	02 14 01 00	10	Marshall Hall to Smith Pt.)
ŀ	02-14-01-03		t. Mary's River
ŀ	02-14-01-04		reton Bay
ŀ	02-14-01-05	51	Clements Bay
H	02-14-01-06		icomico River
å	02-14-01-07		lbert Swamp
_	02-14-01-08		kiah Swamp
_	02-14-01-09		rt Tobacco River
_	02-14-01-10		njemoy Creek
_	02-14-01-11		ttawoman Creek
	02-14-02-01	Pol	omac River Upper tidal
	02-14-02-01	(W	oodrow Wilson Bridge to
_	02-14-02-03		rshall Hall)
_	00.1		cataway Creek
-			on Run
_			costia River
-			k Creek
	rith the comment is	56	

The actual count will change with the segmentation introduced with the new water quality standards but the same waters will be covered.

Table 1a. Maryland's Plan for 1998 303(d) Listings through September 2011.

Submittal Date	1998 303(d) List
December 2003 <sup>1</sup>	61
September 2004 <sup>2</sup>	25
Oct. 2004 – Sept. 2006 <sup>2</sup>	60
Bay Program	87
Reevaluation Process <sup>4</sup>	
Oct. 2006 - Sept. 2011 <sup>3</sup>	1175
<b>Total</b>	350

<sup>1</sup> Total listings addressed are cumulative up to December 2003 submittal.

<sup>2</sup> See detailed workplan for listings to be addressed (Enclosure C).

Table 1b. Calculation of Listings to focus upon during the October 2006-September 2011 timeframe.

A Section 1997	
Total 1998 Listings (including Chesapeake Bay Segments)	350
Listings addressed through Dec. 2003	61
Listings addressed through Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) Coordination	21
Tidal Sediment Listings addressed through CBP	66
Listings to focus upon from Jan. 2003- Sept. 2004	25
Listings to focus upon from Oct. 2004 – Sept. 2006 (see Enclosure B)	60
Number of Remaining Listings (Oct. 2006 – Sept. 2011)	117
Dopt. 2011)	(24/year

<sup>3</sup> In 2006, MDE will submit a detailed work plan to address 1998 listings in the following 2 years (through 2008).

As a component of the upcoming Chesapeake Bay Program Reevaluation process, MDE will address 66 tidal sediment impairments and 21 tidal nutrient impairments in the State's portion of the Chesapeake Bay.

During the period, Oct. 2006 through Sept. 2011, MDE will address the remaining 1998 303(d) listings. Of these 117 listings, approximately one-third are for toxic substances, with the majority of the remaining listings for nutrients. This

Table 2. Summary of Approved and/or Submitted Projects by Pollutant<sup>1</sup>.

998		2000	2001	2002	2003	Total'
	1999	13	15	13	3	52
		1	4	1	1	9
		1		1		1
	1	2		8	7	18
·	1			9	1	10
<u> </u>	10	16	19	32	12	90
		1 10	1 2	1 2 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 8 1 9 1 10 16 19 32	1 2 8 7 1 2 8 7 1 10 16 19 32 12

<sup>\*\*</sup>Totals shown include impairments addressed that may not directly count toward addressing the 1998 303(d) list impairments. Specifically, the mercury listings were not on the 1998 list. In addition some listings were subsequently divided geographically to recognize different drainages and sources and therefore, one listing could result in two TMDLs.

Table 3. Summary of Listings to Focus upon Oct. 2006 - Sept. 2011.

Pollutant	Listings to be Addressed
Nutrients	61
Sediments	14
pH	6
Toxics	27
Bacteria	9
Total	117

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For more details see Enclosure D.

Workplan for Addressing 1998 Listings September, 2004 through September, 2006.

		oo4 mrough Septem	per, 2000.
Due date September 20	Listed Waterbody	Impairing Substance	Number
	Back River	Zinc	
	Baltimore Harbor	Chromism	
΄	Baltimore Harbor, NW P	ortion 7ina	
	Baltimore Harbor, NW Po	ortion Land	1
	Bear Creek	Zinc	1
81	Shellfish Restricted Areas	Bacteria	1
÷	Youghiogheny River	Bastoni	16
	Rock Creek in the Patapsc	o Bacteria	1
	River Basin	Ducieria	16
	Piney Run Reservoir	Nutrients	1
	Tour Reservoor	ivuirients	1
September 2005			25
	Back River		
	Baltimore Harbor	Nutrients	1 .
	Breton Bay	Nutrients	ī
	Chester River	Nutrients	i
		Nutrients	· 2
	Prettyboy Reservoir	Nutrients	1
	Loch Raven Reservoir	Nutrients &	•
		Sediments	2
:*	Northeast River	Zinc	1
	Shellfish Restricted Areas	Bacteria	1.0
	Wicomico River Headwaters	Bacteria	12
	Youghiogheny River	Low pH	1
			1.
eptember 2006			23
	Curtis Creek	Zina DCD	
	Nontidal Waters	Zinc, PCBs	<b>2</b> 7.
	Triadelphia Reservoir	Sediment	17
	(Brighton Dam)	Nutrients &	••
	T. Howard Duckett Reservoir	Sediments	2
gill to the state of the state	(Rocky Gorge)	Nutrients	
	Pocomoke River		1
		Nutrients	3
	Shellfish Restricted Areas	Bacteria	12
and Total			37
t of the 1000 T	will be addressed in 5 years (2007 - 20	- 19mm (1, 172	85

The rest of the 1998 Listings will be addressed in 5 years (2007 - 2011).

<sup>2.</sup> We may do more per year up to 2006 based on available resources.

<sup>3.</sup> Multiple TMDLs will be required to address some restricted shellfish area listings. The estimate outlined above is based on addressing all listings over a three year period, and annual estimates may be subject to change due to logistical issues. However, all shellfish listings with sufficient monitoring data will be addressed in a three-year

Maryland has instituted a five-year watershed cycling strategy. The State has been divided up into five large watersheds, each encompassing approximately 20% of the State. The strategy consists of three steps: monitoring, modeling and TMDL development (if required) and implementation, which is not in the context of this agreement. Maryland anticipates that each step will take approximately one year to complete in each watershed. Because the cycling strategy repeats itself, the watershed cycling strategy establishes a natural evaluation framework as the cycle is repeated. Implementation of the steps will be staggered through each of the watersheds and resources for each step focused in one watershed each year starting with the Lower Eastern Shore in 1998.

Maryland's cycling strategy has been successful in that all monitoring throughout the five larger watersheds has been completed for eutrophication. A major portion of the toxic monitoring has also been completed. In years six (2004) and seven (2005), Maryland will be focusing on monitoring for sediments, fecal coliform and additional toxics monitoring to address numerous listings. In year eight (2006), Maryland intends to reevaluate the first large watershed (the Lower Eastern Shore) and return to the original five-year monitoring schedule.

TMDL development initially followed the same pattern as the first few years of water quality monitoring for TMDL development, which focused on one large watershed per year. Maryland's modeling and development has encountered some technically complex systems, such as Baltimore Harbor, causing some delays and shifts of resources to produce the necessary TMDLs to meet the production requirements for the TMDL program. As a result of these challenges, TMDL development has focused on areas where data is available and the systems can be easily modeled using WASP or a Vollenweider analysis. Maryland has many projects underway that do not necessarily produce TMDL documents such as methodology development for sediments and fecal coliform impairments. Maryland also has been coordinating with Chesapeake Bay Program to ensure that information used for TMDL efforts and C2K are the same. Using this cooperation, the next version of the Bay Watershed Model (Phase V) will be used in conjunction with Maryland's water quality models to produce TMDLs for many areas of Maryland, including the Potomac River.

Enclosure D2 provides an accounting of the 303(d) listings that have been addressed to date by Maryland. The report is first broken down by the year in which the listings were addressed. Then, for MOU tracking purposes, the report is separated into projects that address items in the MOU (noted by "yes" next to "count to MOU") and projects that may address only part of a listing or were listed in 2002 (noted by "no" next to "count to MOU").

# Current Status of 303(d) Listings Addressed in Maryland

**Year Listing Addressed** 

		* *							,	
Current Status	Approved		Current Status	Approved	Approved	Approved Approved Approved	Approved Approved Approved	Approved	Current Status	Approved
Approval Date	3/18/89		Approval Date	12/10/99	12/10/99	3/9/00 8/24/89 8/24/99	12/17/99 6/6/00 3/2/00	37700	Approval Date	3/18/99
Submittal Date	2/16/99		Submittal Date	10/5/99	10/5/99	1/3/00 3/10/99	7726/99 12/3/99 12/23/99	12/23/99	Submittal Date	<b>2/10/98</b>
Year Listed	- 1996		Year Listed	1998	1888	1996 1996 2004	1996 1996 1998	<b>1888</b>	Year Listed	1996
Yes Impairment	Nutrients	- 14 	Yes Impairment	Nutrients	Sediments	Nutrients Nutrients	Chlordane Nutrients (BOD) Nutrients	Nutrients	No Impairment	Nutrients
Basin Name	Port Tobacco River	ssed 1999	Basin Name	Impoundment, Tony	I ank Lake Impoundment, Tony Tank I ake	Transquaking River Urieville Lake	Uneville Lake Back River Western Branch Impoundment, Lake	Habeeb Impoundment, Broadford Lake	Basin Name	Fairlee Creek, part of the Stillpond/Fairlee
Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	Number 02140109	Year Listing Addressed	Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	<i>Number</i> 02130301	02130301	02130308 02130509	02130509 02130901 02131103 02141002	05020202	Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	Number 02130611

osure D2 to September 2, 2004 letter revising Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Ms

		466-0	TI norgan (C		Terrect the State	ctween the State of Maryland and the	
Year Listing Addressed	dressed 2000						
Count-to MOU 8-digit Basin Number 02130208 02130301 02130304 02130304		Yes Impairment Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Sediments	Year Listed 1996 1996 1996 1996	Submittal Date 8/8/00 12/14/00 12/26/00	2/13/01 2/13/01 8/22/01 1/29/01 02/13/01	e Current Status Approved Approved Approved Approved	
02130507 02130507 02130903 02130904 02141006 05020201 05020202 05020204 Count to MOU 8-digit Basin Number 02130308	Marshyhope Creek Corsica River Baltimore Harbor Impoundment, Laice Roland Concocheague Creek Savage River Youghlogheny River Little Youghlogheny River Little Youghlogheny River Casselman River Chicamacomico River Part of the Transquakting River	Nutrients Nutrients Chlordane Chlordane Chlordane Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients Nutrients	1996 1996 1998 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996	12/27/00 447/00 12/14/00 12/27/00 11/28/00 12/28/00 12/14/00 12/22/00 14/17/00	2/13/01 5/9/00 1/28/01 3/28/01 3/28/01 4/16/01 1/29/01 4/12/01 4/12/01	Approved Approved Approved Approved Approved Accepted as Information to delist EPA Concurrence with WQA Approved Approved Approved	
	Watershed					Approved	

e of Maryland and the

Year Listing Addressed	ssed 2001		,			
Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	Basin Name	Yes Impairment	Year Listed	Submittal Date	Approval Date	Current Status
Number 02130106	Impoundment, Big	Nutrients	1888	12/10/01	4/4/02	Approved
02130203	Millpond Impoundment, Adkins	Nutrients	1998	12/7/01	3/27/02	Approved
02130203	Pond Impoundment, Adkins	Sediments	1998	12/7/01	3/27/02	Approved
02130610 02130611	Pond Sassafras River Stillpond Creek, part of the Stillpond/Fairlee	Nutrients Nutrients	1996	12/20/01	4/1/02	Approved Approved
02130706	Watershed Swan Creek Impoundment,	Nutrients Nutrients	1998	12/20/01	3/27/02	Approved Approved
02131105	Centennial Lake Impoundment,	Sediments	1998	12/27/01	4/24/02	Approved
02140207	Centennial Lake Impoundment, Clopper	Nutrients	1998	12/27/01	4/4/02	Approved
02140208	Lake Impoundment, Clopper	Sediments	1998	12/27/01	4/4/02	Approved
02140502	Lake Antietam Creek	Nutrients	1996	12/27/01	9/16/02	EPA Concurrence for BOD
					****	A

				텋			
Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	Basin Name	No Impairment	Year Listed	Submittal Date		Approval Date Current Status	
Number 02130103	Bishopville Prong (Isle of Wight Bay -	Nutrients	1996	NCB - 12/31/01	NCB - 4/17/02	Approved	
02130103	Northern Coastal Bays (NCB) Herring Creek (Isle of Wight Bay - Northern	Nutrients	1886	NCB - 12/31/01	NCB - 4/17/02	Approved	
02130103	Coastal Bays (NCB) document Including Shingle Landing Prong (Isle of Wight	Nutriënts 	1 <b>886</b>	NCB - 12/31/01	NCB - 4/17/02	Approved	
02130103	Bay - Northem Coastal Bays (NCB) St. Martin River (Isle	Nutrients	1994	NCB - 12/31/01	NCB - 4/17/02	Approved	

EPA Concurrence with WQA for BOD Accepted as information to delist

9/16/02 2/6/02

12/27/01 12/19/01

02140208 02140502 02141004

Nutrients **Nutrients** 

Georges Creek

1996 1996

	dosure D2 to September 2, 2004 letter revising Memorandum of Understanding by	tate of Maryland and a	J. rang and the				/02 Approved		· ·	Approved	Anomaria	Dayorda	•		- A	.**		Current Status	Andreas	Danord	함	A G	Approved Approved	EPA Concurrence with WOA		Censied 2002 303(d) List	Delisted 2002 303(d) List	Moved to a second	303(d) List: ICS 1 letter	Moved to Part 4b of 2002	Moved to Part 4h of 2000	303(d) List: ICS Listing	303(d)   let: 100	EPA Concurrence with W.O.A.	EPA Concurrence with WQA	EPA Congumence with trees	VDM (IIII SOLICE)	Ery Concurrence with WOA	Approved
		ween the S					NCB - 4/17/02		7	70/4/05	2/6/02		ı				Approval Date		10/31/03			1/22/03	9/22/03	2/20/02	4/30/03		4/30/03	04/30/02	040000	<b>CARSON</b> 03	04/30/03	04/30/03		2/20/03	3	1/22/03	1/22/03		11/26/03
	Understanding					NCB - 12/31/01			12/10/01	, et c	10//71					G. L.	Swomittal Date		12/31/02		.5	12/16/02	12/26/02	!	10/02	10/02		10/02	10/02	- 60/01		10/02	12/24/02	12/20/02	12/18/02	705.1	12/20/02	12/17/02	THE STATE OF THE S
	Memorandum of	egion III				1996			9881	1996						Year Listed	les 1	1996			1996	1996	9861	1998		1998	1986	1	986	1896	1908	8	1996 900 900	: 0 8 8	1998	1998		986	
	ter revising				Nufriente			Sediments	> .					į	Yes	Impairment	R .	Numents			Nutrients	Heavy Metals		Copper	Nicke		Copper	Cyanide		Mercury	Nickel	Zinc	Nutrients	Nuffeet	9	Nutrients	Low pH		
	Josure D2 to September 2, 2004 letter revising Memoran United States Environmental Protection Agents: B.	The state of the s	Northern Court	Bays (NCB)	Turville Creek (Isle of	Coastal Bays (ACD)	document including	Millbond Big	Worton Creek, part of	the Stillpond/Fairles		dressed 2002	<b>)</b>		Basin Namo	5. 100	Newport Bay	(Newport Bay	Mewoort Creek Alox	Langford Creek (NC),	Southeast Creek	Little Gunpowder Falls	Middle River - Wilson	- A	Middle River - Wilson	Baltimone Harbor		Saltimore Harbor	Baltimore Harbor	Baltimore		Jones Falls	Mary's Lake	Impoundment, Lake	Demard Frank	9	Cherry Creek in the Deep Creek Part		
<u> </u>	osure D2 t. United States E		•	02130103			02130106	2000	Frontie		i. Li	Year Listing Addressed	Court to Morr	ON OF THE S	8-digit Basin	Number	02130105		771	02130506 0213050e	02130804	v	02130807	02130807	1	02130903	02130903		02130903	02130903	02130004	02140103	0244000	90704170	02140206	05020203			

Enclosure D2 to September 2, 2004 letter revising Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Maryland and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

nd and the Examples of the September 2, 2004 letter revising Memorandum of Understanding by United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

er S	A rectuon Agency, Region III	eramming between	the State of Marylan
Year Listing Addressed	<b>2003</b>	<b>*</b> 2	12 To 2 To

ď		Ä	Current Status		Approved to complete a 114.4	Abbroved	Approved	<b>Angere</b>	Approved	Approved	.i.			Current Status	Approved	Approved	Submitted	T <sub>k</sub>	;r	Trent Contain	mitted	Submitted
			Approval Date		12/16/03	11/10/03	11/10/03 A		11/10/03 11/10/03		Ċ			ate	11/10/03 Ap	11/10/03 Ap	ns.	ia	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Approval Date Current Series	qng.	ons.
r:		Submittal Das		1/8/04		9/24/03	9/24/03	9/24/03 9/24/03	9/24/03	11/4/03	1/21/04		Submittal Date	2 z	8/24/U3	8/24/03	1/8/04			Submittal Date	823/04	
		Year Listed	**************************************	1998	1996	<b>200</b>		- 1996 1996	1996 1998	900	Qee :	W.	Year Listed	2002	ia.			<b>্</b> ক	Year Listod	. å	1996 1998	
	Yes	Impairment	Nutrients	Nutrients	Heavy Metals	Heavy metals	Heavy Metale		ď	Nutrients		No.	Impairment	Cadmium - water	Lead - water column	Mercury in fish tissue			Yes Impairment	į	Chromium	2
	Racin Name		Northeast River		Lower Gunpowder Falls	Loch Raven Reservate	Prettyboy Reservoir	Liberty Reservoir	"Inpoundment, Piney Run Reservoir	Mattawoman Creek		Basin Name		Madie River - Browns Creek	Middle River - Browns Creek	Impoundment, Broadford Lake		ssed 2004	Basin Name	Bear Creek	Northwest Branch, Inner Harbor	je.
Count to MOU	8-digit Basin	Number	02130702	02130802	20000	CD80C130	02130806 02130907	02130907 02130908	- CO140144		Count to MOII	8-digit Basin	<b>Number</b> 02130807	02130807		<b>202020</b>	Veer I totter	Count to MOU	8-digit Basin Number	02130903	•	

Enclosure D2 to September 2, 2004 letter revising Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Maryland and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

ite Current Status	Under Development	Under Development		date Current Status	Under Development	Under Development	Under Development Under Development Under Development	Under Development Under Development	Submitted Linder Development	Public Review has ended	Under Development	Under Development Public Review has ended	Public Review has ended		Delisting 2004 303(d) List	Public Review has ended	Under Development	Under Development	Under Development
Approval Date			7	Approval Date															
Submittal Date		**		Submittal Date					4/1/04					.0°					
				pa	9661	1996	966 966	966	1998	1996 1996	1998 1998	988		066	1998	1998	1998	1998	1996
Year Listed	2003	2003		Year Listed	49	19	: 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	: <del>**</del>		-		•	•		:				4
No Impairment	Mercury in fish tissue	Mercury in fish tissue	94-2006	Yes Impairment	Nutrients	Nutrients	Nutrients Nutrients	Nutrients	Zinc	Nutrients Zinc	PCBs	Zinc		Zwc	Fecal Coliform	Nutrients	ett Nutrients	Nutrients	
Basin Name	Impoundments,	Area impoundment, Cash Lake	700	Basin Name	Lower Pocomoke	River Upper Pocomoke	River Dividing Creek Nassawango Creek	Middle Chester River Upper Chester River	Back River Back River	Baltimore Harbor	Curtis Bay/Creek	Middle Harbor	Northwest branch, Inner Harbor	Northwest Branch,	Rock Creek in the	Patapsco River Basin Impoundment, Piney	Run Reservoir Impoundment Duckett	Reservoir	Triadelphia Reservoir Youdhlocheny River
Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	<i>Number</i> 02130510	02131104	ear Listing Addressed	Count to MOU 8-digit Basin	Number 02130202	02130203	02130204	02130509 02130510	02130901	02130903	02130903	02130903 02130903	02130903	02130903	02130903		02424407	02121	02.131.100

This table prepared in response to EPA comments for a "redline" version, and the redline version prepared by EPA are both working documents to assure the parties' mutual understanding of revisions, and are not part of the Memorandum of Understanding.

# SUMMARY TABLE OF THE MOU BETWEEN STATE OF MARYLAND AND THE U.S EPA REGION III REGARDING SECTIONS 303(d) AND 303(e) OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND PROPOSED 2004 REVISIONS

		Original Document (Reference where applicable)	Proposed Pavision
	Date	November 18, 1998	(Reference where applicable)
Pertinent	List	MD's 1998 303(d) List, consisting of MD's 1999 and the	2004 st
Performan	100	and co additional waters (Section IIA)	No change
Period Scheduling		Calendar year, with due date of December 31 (Section IIC	Federal fiscal year, with due date of September 30
Period		10 years, with completion by December 31, 2008 (Section IIC)	13 years, with completion by Septemb
Focus		TMDL development	30, 2011
A			Addressing 303(d) listings
riority eadlines		High priority: address within five years of listing All others: address within 10 years of listing (Section IIC)	No change. Clarifications: MDE may address a listed Impairment by means other than establishing a TMDL.  Appropriate responses may include the establishments of a TMDL, demonstratio that the water quality standards are being met, demonstration of an error in the listing, documentation that another enforceable activity will mitigate the impairment, or demonstration that the cause of the impairment is due to a form or pollution other than a pollutant. "Address" means begin work that may include model/method development or monitoring. Address does not necessarily imply that all aspects of an impairment will be resolved within five years. Depending on the complexity of the system and the scientific issues involved final resolution may take
elopment edule	Not s	specified; however, MD did provide a schedule for 1999 through 2008	Includes a plan to address 1998 listings (Enclosure B) and a workplan for September 2004 through September 2006 (Enclosure C) which supersede previous schedules.

	11 110	
Annual Workplan	Included as part of the annual report     Identifies watersheds that will be the focus of monitoring and modeling/TMDL development during the following two federal fiscal years     Identifies the TMDLs to be established by MD in the following federal fiscal year.	Due by October 31 each year
Annual Report	Due by August 1 each year (Section VI)     Describes progress toward completion of the obligations identified in the MOU     Cycling Strategy and workplan     Current and projected funding     Other related issues or problems that prevent or delay accomplishment of MOU	Due by October 31 each year
Termination	requirements  Upon establishment of TMDLs for all water quality limited segments on the 1998 303(d) list and the submission of a revised CPP, which MD anticipates will be completed by October 1, 2008 (Section VIII)	Completion of addressing all 1998 303(d) listings in September 2011.
Other	Sets forth duties for developing MD's 303 (d) list (Section I)  Stresses watershed approach for TMDL development (Section IID)  Describes the process by which MD will facilitate EPA's review of its CPP (Section III)  Specifies that MDE will make an effort to provide EPA with preliminary draft TMDLs well in advance of any deadlines (Section IIG)	<ul> <li>Not discussed</li> <li>No change</li> <li>Not discussed</li> <li>No change</li> </ul>

# MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING between THE STATE OF MARYLAND and

THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION III, regarding SECTIONS 303(d) AND 303(e) OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

WHEREAS Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d), and the implementing regulations of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), 40 CFR § 130.7(b)-(e), provide for: (1) the biennial submission to EPA of a list (the "303(d) list") which identifies water quality limited segments ("WQLSs") within a state's boundaries still requiring Total Maximum Daily Loads or Total Maximum Daily Thermal Loads (collectively, "TMDLs") for which applicable technology-based effluent limitations and other effluent limitations or controls required by federal, state or local law are not stringent enough to implement water quality standards ("WQSs"); (2) the establishment of a priority ranking for such waters; and (3) the establishment of TMDLs for those WQLSs at levels necessary to attain and maintain the applicable narrative and numerical water quality standards with seasonal variations and a margin of safety;

WHEREAS EPA and the State of Maryland ("Maryland") desire to restore the quality of impaired waters to achieve WQSs pursuant to Section 303(d) of the CWA;

Memorandum of Understanding between MDE and EPA Region III regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act

WHEREAS Section 303(e) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(e), and EPA's implementing regulations, 40 CFR § 130.5, provide for EPA's review of Maryland's continuing planning process ("CPP") from time to time;

WHEREAS the State of Maryland has primary responsibility for the identification and prioritization of WQLSs still requiring TMDLs and for establishment of TMDLs pursuant to Section 303(d) of the CWA and implementing regulations;

WHEREAS EPA intends to work with Maryland to assure that water quality-based NPDES permits issued by the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE") will include limits that are based on WQSs and consistent with the assumptions and requirements of any applicable waste load allocation in accordance with 40 CFR § 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(A) and (B);

NOW, THEREFORE, EPA AND THE STATE OF MARYLAND HAVE PREPARED THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING ("MOU") AND EACH AGREE TO USE BEST EFFORTS TO ACCOMPLISH THE FOLLOWING:

This MOU is entered into by the Regional Administrator of Region III of EPA and, on behalf of the State of Maryland, the Secretary of MDE. The Maryland Department of Agriculture and the Maryland Department of Natural Resources are non-signing cooperating agencies.

Memorandum of Understanding between MDE and EPA Region III regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act

This MOU sets forth a plan of action for EPA and Maryland to follow through the completion of all of the tasks set forth in Parts II and III herein, regarding the joint fulfillment of obligations set forth under Section 303(d) and (e) of the CWA.

This MOU shall serve as the framework for administering portions of Maryland's water programs. Specifically, it sets forth the respective duties of EPA and Maryland for (1) developing the lists of WQLSs required by CWA Section 303(d), and (2) developing, where necessary, TMDLs for those waters identified on Maryland's 1996 Section 303(d) list approved December 31, 1996, and 1998 303(d) list approved September 28, 1998. It also describes the process by which Maryland will facilitate EPA's review of its CPP. EPA agrees to exercise best efforts to assist Maryland in obtaining additional federal funding for the purpose of carrying out its obligations under the MOU.

I. <u>Section 303(d) List</u> - Maryland will use best efforts to submit to EPA timely lists of WQLSs requiring TMDLS in accordance with Section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 CFR § 130.7.

- II. Establishment of TMDLS for all WOLSs on the 1996 and 1998
- A. EPA and Maryland agree that the list of WQLSs (set forth in Exhibit A to this MOU) is Maryland's 1998 303(d) list of waters approved by EPA in the letter dated September 28, 1998, which consists of Maryland's 1996 303(d) list approved December 31, 1996, and 58 additional waters.
- B. EPA and Maryland understand that TMDLS do not need to be established for any WQLS that are removed from the 1998 303(d) List of WQLSs contained in Exhibit A, and whose removal is approved by EPA. A WQLS may be removed from an approved 303(d) List for any of a number of reasons including but not limited to:
  - (1) more recent or more accurate monitoring and assessment information and/or more sophisticated water quality modeling indicates that the WQLS attains WQSs;
  - (2) new information indicates that, as a result of changes in conditions, including implementation of technology-based pollution controls, the WQLS is expected to attain applicable WQSs before April 1 of the next even-numbered year as the result of implementation of required pollution controls;

- (3) new information shows that, upon re-examination, the State determines that the original basis for listing the WQLS on the 303(d) list was inaccurate;
- (4) Maryland determines for other reasons consistent with the law and applicable regulations that the WQLS does not need a TMDL pursuant to Section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 CFR 130.7, as amended, and EPA approves Maryland's determination.
- c. Subject to available resources, MDE will use best efforts to establish and submit to EPA, on or before December 31, 2008 and in accordance with the Watershed Cycling Strategy described in pararaph II.D. and the schedule attached hereto as Exhibit B, TMDLs for each of the WQLSs identified in Maryland's 1996 303(d) list that are not removed from the list pursuant to section 11.B, above. For the WQLSs added to Maryland's 303(d) list in 1998 and subsequent years; MDE will establish TMDLs within five years of listing for those segments having high priorities, and within ten years and in accordance with the Watershed Cycling Strategy described in paragraph II.D. for all other segments.

- D. EPA understands that Maryland intends to develop TMDLs for the WQLSs remaining on the 1998 303(d) list and future 303(d) lists through a watershed approach, as provided in Exhibit C, Maryland Department of the Environment Plan for TMDL Watershed Cycling Strategy ("the Cycling Strategy").
  - (1) Five large watersheds have been identified in the Cycling Strategy, each encompassing approximately 20% of the State. See Exhibit C.
  - (2) The Cycling Strategy consists of three steps to be conducted in sequence for each watershed. The first step is monitoring. The second step is modeling and TMDL development. The third step, which is outside the scope of this Agreement, is TMDL implementation and watershed-based permitting, as appropriate. Maryland anticipates that each step will take approximately one year to complete in each watershed. Because the five-year cycle repeats itself, the watershed cycling strategy establishes a natural evaluation framework as the cycle is repeated.

- (3) Implementation of these three steps will be staggered through the five watersheds and resources for each step focused in one watershed each year. For example, monitoring will be performed for watershed #1 (the Coastal, Lower Eastern Shore, and Choptank watersheds) in 1998, for watershed #2 (the Upper Western Shore and Upper Eastern Shore watersheds) in 1999, for watershed #3 (the Patapsoco/Back and Lower Western Shore watersheds) in 2000, and so on. Modeling and TMDLS development will be performed for watershed #1 in 1999, for watershed #2 in 2000, for watershed #3 in 2001, and so on.
- E. EPA will exercise best efforts to provide federal funding, training, and administrative and technical assistance to Maryland to facilitate its efforts to establish TMDLS for WQLSs in accordance with the Cycling Strategy and pursuant to this MOU.
- F. At the request of EPA, Maryland will make available to EPA any existing and readily available water quality-related data which was or could be used to establish TMDLS for all WQLSs on the 1998 303(d) List and on any subsequent list.

- G. EPA and Maryland agree to produce, on or before

  December 31, 1998, and on or before August 1 of each year after

  1998 that this MOU is in effect, an annual workplan that (1)

  identifies the watersheds that will be the focus of monitoring

  and modeling/TMDL development during the following two federal

  fiscal years, and (2) identifies the TMDLs to be established by

  Maryland in the following federal fiscal year. This workplan

  will be included as part of the annual report described in Part

  IV of this MOU. In order to facilitate good communications

  between the parties, Maryland agrees to use best efforts to send

  EPA preliminary draft TMDLS well in advance of any deadlines; EPA

  agrees to use best efforts to review and provide timely comments

  on those preliminary draft TMDLS.
- H. Where TMDLs have been established and approved,
  Maryland agrees to reissue existing NPDES permits and issue new
  NPDES permits as necessary to comply with the requirements set
  forth in 40 CFR § 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(A) and (B). Maryland
  anticipates that this will be accomplished on a watershed basis
  through the process established in the Cycling Strategy, as set
  forth in Exhibit C.

# III. Continuing Planning Process

- A. EPA acknowledges that it received Maryland's original CPP prior to November 28, 1975 and approved it. EPA further acknowledges that Maryland transmitted to EPA a "Continuing Planning Process for Water Quality Management" in 1976 and in 1986.
- B. Maryland and EPA acknowledge that, the week of July 13, 1998, Maryland provided public notice of its intent to revise its CPP and invited public comment thereon. Maryland agrees to update its CPP and to transmit a document describing its revised CPP to EPA on or before October 1, 1999. EPA agrees to review and provide to Maryland comments on the revised CPP, in accordance with 40 CFR § 130.5, on or before August 15, 2000. Maryland agrees to consider EPA's comments and recommendations regarding the revised CPP.

# IV. Monitoring and Assessment

Maryland will perform chemical and physical monitoring of its waters in accordance with the Cycling Strategy. With respect to biological monitoring, Maryland agrees to perform the tasks set forth in Exhibit D in accordance with the schedule provided in Exhibit D. Once a protocol for application of biological data

is established pursuant to the schedule set forth in Exhibit D,
Maryland will conduct biological monitoring in accordance with
the Cycling Strategy. In addition, the Maryland Department of
Natural Resources will continue its existing monitoring program.

Maryland will utilize all existing and readily available biological monitoring data, in addition to physical and chemical monitoring data, for the purpose of determining WQLSs for the 2000 303(d) list and all 303(d) lists thereafter.

# V. Funding

- A. The Parties anticipate that in order for Maryland to perform its obligations according to this MOU, it will require additional funding.
- B. EPA agrees to use best efforts to assist Maryland in obtaining additional federal funds to help provide adequate resources for establishing TMDLs according to the Cycling Strategy and related work plans to be developed under the MOU.
- C. EPA further agrees to be flexible to the extent permitted by the applicable law and the terms of existing grant agreements in its oversight of Maryland's grant-related activities in order to accommodate reasonable and necessary

Memorandum of Understanding between MDE and EPA Region III regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act

changes in Maryland work priorities and other tasks set forth in this MOU.

establish TMDLS within the timeframes specified in the Cycling Strategy and work plans provided for under this MOU due to the inability of Maryland to obtain additional funding, a change in priorities resulting from a subsequently approved 303(d) list, or other unforeseen circumstances that are beyond the control of Maryland. If for any of these reasons, Maryland is unable to establish TMDLs in accordance with the Cycling Strategy and related work plans, then MDE and EPA will attempt to reach agreement on a reasonable extension of time in which Maryland may establish the TMDL. If upon diligent efforts, MDE and EPA are unable to agree on such an extension, MDE understands that EPA, in the exercise of its discretion, may exercise its has authority to establish those TMDLs pursuant to Section 303(d) of the CWA.

# VI. Reports

On or before August 1 of each year that this MOU is in effect beginning August 1, 1999, Maryland will provide an annual status report to EPA describing progress toward completion of the obligations identified in this MOU including but not limited to

(1) the Cycling Strategy and workplan described in Part II

Section G, above; (2) current and projected funding available to

Maryland to carry out the obligations identified herein; and (3)

other related issues or problems that prevent or delay

accomplishment of the requirements of this MOU.

### VII. Legal Effect

This MOU creates no cause of action against EPA or the State of Maryland beyond those, if any, that may already exist under State or federal law. In addition, the execution and implementation of this MOU does not constitute an explicit or implicit agreement by the Parties to subject themselves to the jurisdiction of any State or federal court. Nor shall this MOU be construed as an admission by the Parties that they have failed to implement the requirements of Section 303(d) or (e) of the CWA. Nor shall this MOU be construed as creating any night or benefit substantive or procedural, enforceable in law or equity, by any person or entity against any of the Parties. This MOU shall not be construed to create any right to judicial review involving the compliance or non-compliance with this MOU, nor does it constitute a determination on the part of EPA that any particular TMDL is required;

B. Nothing in this MOU shall be construed to require actions by the Parties that are inconsistent with or contrary to local, State or federal laws or regulations or any court order. VIII. Termination

This MOU shall terminate upon the establishment of TMDLs for all WQLSs on the 1998 Section 303 (d) List for which TMDLs are required and the submission of a revised CPP, which Maryland anticipates will be completed by October 1, 2008.

# IX. Modification

- A. The Parties recognize that any efforts made by Maryland to implement this MOU are contingent on the availability of funds and other resources.
- B. If circumstances change for such issues including but not limited to resource requirements or underlying legal requirements, the Parties may negotiate appropriate modifications

Memorandum of Understanding between MDE and EPA Region III regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act

to this MOU. Any modifications signed by the EPA Region III Regional Administrator and the Secretary of MDE constitute modifications of this MOU.

DATED	this		day	of		1	9	9	8
-------	------	--	-----	----	--	---	---	---	---

UNITED STATED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

By: Maludothe

W. Michael McCabe Regional Administrator USEPA Region III

STATE OF MARYLAND

By:\_\_\_\_

Jane T. Nishida Secretary

Maryland Department of the Environment

Memorandum of Understanding between MDE and EPA Region III regarding Sections 303(d) and 303(e) of the Clean Water Act

to this MOU. Any modifications signed by the EPA Region III Regional Administrator and the Secretary of MDE constitute modifications of this MOU.

DATED this day of,	1	9	9	9	٤	Ì
--------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

UNITED STATED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Regional Administrator USEPA Region III

STATE OF MARYLAND

By: Jane 1 pshe

cane 1. Nishio

Secretary

Maryland Department of the Environment